

# ATTACHMENT 8 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA) DETERMINATION OF SEPA EXEMPTION

For more information about this project visit www.redmond.gov/landuseapps

PROJECT NAME: Benjamin Estates
SEPA FILE NUMBER: SEPA-2014-00194
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
SEPA for 14 lot single family subdivision with associated site and utility improvements
PROJECT LOCATION: 136th Street and NE 100th Street
SITE ADDRESS: 13640 NE 100TH ST KIRKLAND, WA 98033
APPLICANT: Kevin O'Brien
LEAD AGENCY: City of Redmond
THE LEAD AGENCY FOR THIS PROPOSAL HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSAL IS CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THRESHOLD DETERMINATION AND EIS REQUIREMENTS PURSUANT TO 197-11-800(1)(a)



#### CITY OF REDMOND

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

#### **Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the City of Redmond identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

#### **Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply" and indicate the reason why the question "does not apply". It is not adequate to submit responses such as "N/A" or "does not apply"; without providing a reason why the specific section does not relate or cause an impact. Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. If you need more space to write answers attach them and reference.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the City can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. When you submit this checklist the City may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

#### Use of Checklist for Non project Proposals:

Complete this checklist for non-project proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON PROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For non-project actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

	For Agency Use Only
Planner Name	
Date of Review	
	Page 1 of 27

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
Α.	BA	CKGROUND	
	1.	Name of proposed project, if applicable:	
		Benjamin Estates	
	2.	Name of applicant:	
		Benjamin 13 LLC	
	3.	Address and phone number of applicant and Contact person:	
		15 Lake Bellevue Drive Bellevue, WA 98005	
	4.	Date checklist prepared:	
		08/21/2013	
	5.	Agency requesting checklist:	
		City of Redmond	
	6.	Give an accurate, brief description of the proposal's scope and nature:	
		i. Acreage of the site:	
		ii Number of dwelling units/ buildings to be constructed:	
		iii Square footage of dwelling units/ buildings being added:	
		iv. Square footage of pavement being added:	
		v. Use or Principal Activity:Residential Subdivision	
		vi. Other information:	

	Agency Use only
7. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):	
Construction is proposed to start in the fall of 2013 subject to the approval process and market demands.	
8. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal?   Yes  No If yes, explain	
9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.	
A Geotechnical Report by The Riley Group has been prepared and is included with the submittal.	
10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? ✓ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain.	
Approvals are pending for projects in the vicinity that will provide Sewer (Kirkmond)	

Evaluation for Agency Use only
for your
ng and
ing the several aspects is page. specific
sociated
on to ng a n. If a vicinity should ications
d, WA 34, ached

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only	
B.	EN	/IRC	ONMENTAL ELEMENTS	
	1.	Ear	rth	
		a.	General description of the site (check one)	
			✓ Flat	
			Rolling	
			Hilly	
			Steep slopes	
			☐ Mountainous	
			Other	
		b.	What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Describe location and areas of different topography.	
	A	Appro	ximately 15 percent	
		c.	What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, mulch)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.	
	P	Alderv	ding to the NRCS King County Soil Survey the site is underlain with vood Gravelly Sandy Loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes. Please refer to the ed Geotechnical Report prepared by the Riley Group	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
<ul> <li>d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, describe.</li> </ul>	
e. Describe the purpose, type, location and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.	
Road and building sites would be cleared, graded and compacted as necessary to achieve proper grade transition, drainage and structural stability. A balance between cut and fill would be sought, thereby, reducing the need to import or export soil.	
f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.	
During construction the potential for increased erosion would be present. Following construction, erosion potential would decrease when drainage is controlled and cleared areas are re-vegetated.	
g. About what percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?	
Upon completion approximately 50% of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces	
h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any.	
Temporary measures to control erosion could include sedimentation ponds, filter fences and diversion swales, permanent measures could include landscaping, piping and armouring of outfall areas.	

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
rea por of a Hader-A	i.	Does the landfill or excavation involve over 100 cubic yards throughout the lifetime of the project?	
	Yes.		
2.	Air		
	a.	What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e. dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke, and greenhouse gases) during construction and when the project is completed: If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.	
	emiss roofing source assoc	g construction activities there would be increased exhaust and dust particle ions to the ambient air. Objectionable odors could be caused by the g of homes or the paving of roadways. After construction, the principal of pollution would be exhaust from vehicles. The increase in automobiles iated with the development would contribute CO, NO and SO2 emissions air. Fireplaces installed in homes would contribute smoke to the air.	
	b.	Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? ✓ Yes ☐ No If so, generally describe.	
		ular emissions from traffic on nearby roadways would be the primary off- ource of air pollution that could affect the proposal.	
	c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:	
	wateri emiss	d construction activities be undertaken during the dry season, periodic ng, if deemed necessary, could be used to control dust. Automobile ions should be negligible because of the standards regulated by the ington State Department of Licensing.	
3.	Wa	ter	
	a.	Surface	
	1.	Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)?   Yes  No If yes, describe type, location and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. Provide a sketch if not shown on site plans.	

To be comp	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
2.	Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters: ☐ Yes ✓ No If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Note approximate distance between surface waters and any construction, fill, etc	
3.	Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material, if from on site.	
Not ap	plicable	
4.	Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions?  ☐ Yes ✓ No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	
5.	Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? ☐ Yes X No If so, note location on the site plan.	

To be complete	ed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
6.	Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? Yes No If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.	
7.	Is your property located within the Bear/ Evans Creek Watershed (see attached map)?  Yes No. If yes, answer questions 8 & 9; if No, go to the next section.	
8.	Provide details on how your propose to maximize infiltration of runoff to recharge associated stream during the summer months.	
Not applic	able	
9.	Does your project propose an increase in fecal coliform levels In surface water? If so, describe impacts.	,
Not applic	able	

### **Evaluation** for Agency Use only To be completed by applicant b. Ground Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to 1. purpose, and approximate quantities if known. Any alteration to the direction or rate of flow of ground water due to grading operations should be localized on-site. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. The project would include a sewer system, therefore, there would be no major sources of waste material, which could be discharged to the ground. Water Runoff (including storm water): C. Describe the source(s) of runoff (including storm water) and 1. method of collection, transport/conveyance, and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. Through the construction of residences and roadways, the existing runoff pattern would be locally modified. Runoff from the proposal would be generated by building roofs, driveways, sidewalks and roadways. This water would be collected by the storm drainage system and directed to storm retention / detention facilities located Tract A. Approximately 1,100 lineal feet of off site storm improvements will be constructed downstream of the site prior to entering the City of Redmond storm drainage network. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. Please refer to Surface Water Response #6 and Ground Water Response #2

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
	d.	Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:	
	construction	v erosion control devices would be installed during construction. After on, stormwater runoff will be collected and directed to detention / acilities by the storm drainage system.	
4.	Plants		
	a.	Check and select types of vegetation found on the site:	
		✓ Deciduous Tree: ✓ Alder ✓ Maple ☐ Aspen ✓ Other	
		✓ Evergreen Tree: ✓ Cedar ✓ Fir ✓ Pine ☐ Other	
		✓ Shrubs	
		✓ Grass	
		✓ Pasture	
		Crop or Grain	
		☐ Wet soil plants: ☐ Cattail ☐ Buttercup ☐ Bullrush	
		☐ Skunk cabbage ☐ Other	
		☐ Water plants: ☐ Water lily ☐ Eelgrass ☐ Milfoil ☐ Other	
		Other types of vegetation (please list)	
	b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?	2
	Existing v pads	egetation will be removed as necessary for the roadway and building	

# To be completed by applicant Evaluation for Agency Use only

c. Provide the number of significant and landmark trees located on the site and estimate the number proposed to be removed and saved in the table below:

Tree Type	Total (#)	Removed (#)	Saved (#)	Percentage saved (%)
Landmark (>30" dbh*)	17	12	5	29%
Significant (6" – 30" dbh*)	56	39	17	30%
Percentage (%)	73	70%	30%	

Note: Since a SEPA Determination is issued early on in the project's review process; the information above is a preliminary estimate only and could change during the development review process.

d. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

#### None known

e. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Development would reduce existing vegetation, although as much native vegetation as practical would be retained during construction. Cleared and graded areas would be revegetated with some native species and species common to urban areas.

<sup>\*</sup> DBH - Diameter at breast height

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
5.	Aniı	nals	
	a.	Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site	
		✓ Birds: ☐ Hawk ☐ Heron ☐ Eagle ✓ Songbirds ☐ Other	
		✓ Mammals: ✓ Deer ☐ Bear ☐ Elk ☐ Beaver ☐ Other	
		☐ Fish: ☐ Bass ☐ Salmon ☐ Trout ☐ Herring	
		☐ Shellfish ☐ Other	
	b.	List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site	
	None kno	own	
	c.	Is the site part of a migration route: ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, explain?	
	Not to our	knowledge	
	d.	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:	
		of as many existing trees in accordance with City Code and as e with road, utility and home construction will help preserve wildlife	
6.	Ene	rgy and Natural Resources	
	a.	What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs: Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.	
	proposal	and natural gas would be the primary sources of energy for the and would be used for heating, lighting and other household purposes. Thing and passive solar gain would be secondary sources of heat.	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
<ul> <li>b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?    ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, generally describe.</li> </ul>	
c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:	
The inclusion of energy conservation measures would be per the energy code and the choice of individual residents.	
7 Environmental Health	
<ul> <li>a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk or fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? ☐ Yes</li> <li>✓ No. If so, describe.</li> </ul>	
Not to our knowledge	
Describe special emergency services that might be required.	
No special emergency services would be required by the proposed project.	
2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:	
None required or proposed	

To be complete	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
b.	Noise	
1.	What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic equipment, operation, other)?	
Noise fror project.	m traffic on surrounding roadways could have a minimal impact on the	
2.	What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.	
limited to	rels would be intermittently high throughout construction, but should be normal waking hours. After construction residential activity and traffic ated by daily vehicular trips would increase ambient noise levels in the	
3.	Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:	
residence	soundproofing materials would be used in the construction of es. Use of proper muffling devices and limitation of construction to aking hours would minimize construction-related noise.	
c.	Describe the potential use of the following:	
1.	Flammable liquids	
2.	✓ Combustible liquids	
3.	Flammable gases	
4.	Combustible or flammable fibers	
5.	Flammable solids	
6.	Unstable materials	
7.	Corrosives	
8.	Oxidizing materials	
9.	Organic peroxides	
10.	Nitromethane	
11.	Ammonium nitrate	
12.	Highly toxic material	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
13. Poisonous gas	
14. Smokeless powder	
15. Black sporting powder	
16. Ammunition	
17. Explosives	,
18. Cryogenics	
19. Medical gas	
20. Radioactive material	
21. Biological material	
22. High piled storage (over 12' in most cases)	
<ul><li>8. Land and Shoreline Use</li><li>a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?</li></ul>	
The site is currently developed with a single-family residence.	
b. Has the site been used for agriculture?  Yes  No If so, describe.	
Not to our knowledge.	
c. Describe any structures on the site.	
There is a single-family home on the site.	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
d. Will any structures be demolished? 🗸 Ye	s 🗌 No If so, what?
The single-family home on the site is proposed to be dem	olished.
e. What is the current zoning classification of RIN - Residential Innovative zone	the site?
f. What is the current comprehensive plan de Single-Family Urban	signation of the site?
Other  g. If applicable, what is the current shorel designation of the site?  Not Applicable	line master program
<ul> <li>Other</li> <li>h. Has any part of the site been classified as sensitive" area? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, spec with City)</li> </ul>	
Approximately how many people would recompleted project.	
Upon completion approximately 43 people would reside in per residence x 14 residences).	n the development (3.1
j. Approximately how many people would displace?	the completed project

To be complete	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
Approxim	nately 3 people would be displaced (3.1 per residence x 1 residence).	
k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:	
None pro	pposed	
1.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:	
Complian	nce with existing regulatory codes and standards.	
m.	What percentage of the building will be used for:	
	Warehousing	
	Manufacturing	
	Office	
	Retail	
	Service (specify)	
	Other (specify)	
	✓ Residential	
n.	What is the proposed I.B.C. construction type?	
Residenti	al Typ V-B	
0.	How many square feet are proposed (gross square footage including all floors, mezzanines, etc.)	
Approxim	nately 2400 sf are proposed for each unit	
p.	How many square feet are available for future expansion (gross square footage including floors, mezzanines and additions).	

o be	completed by applicant	Evaluation fo Agency Use only
	Not applicable	
9.	Housing	
	<ul> <li>Approximately how many units would be provided, if any Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.</li> </ul>	?
	14 total housing units are proposed. 13 units are middle income, and 1 unit will be designated as "affordable housing" per City of Redmond Code.	ä
	b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.	?
	1 unit would be eliminated	
	c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, i any:	f
	Compliance with regulatory codes and standards, including affordable housing regulations, would reduce the housing impacts of the proposed development.	
10.	Aesthetics	
	a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), no including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?	
	The tallest height of any structure would be per the Redmond zoning code. Exterior building materials are expected to be of wood.	
	b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?	
	None	

o be con	pleted by applicant	Evaluation fo Agency Use only
	c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:	
prac	observance of building setbacks, retention of as much native vegetation as ctical during construction and provision of ornamental and native landscaping ald reduce aesthetic impacts of the project.	
11.	Light and Glare	
	a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce: What time of day or night would it mainly occur:	
	proposal would produce light from automobile headlights, street lighting and ne lighting, primarily at night.	
	b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views:	
No	t to our knowledge. Night lighting would promote safety at the project.	
	c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?	
Su	rrounding residences and traffic	
	d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:	
No	ne proposed	
12.	Recreation	
	a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?	

To be complet	Evaluation for Agency Use only		
	Puget Sound Croquet Club, Interlake Sporting Association Gun Range, Mark Twain Park, North Rose Hill Park, Forbes Lake Park, Willows Run Golf Club		
b.	Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, describe.		
c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:		
Provision	of Open Space in accordance with the City regulations.		
13. His	toric and Cultural Preservation		
a.	Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.		
None kno	own		
b.	Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.		
None kno	wn		
c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:		
	ction would be temporarily halted, should evidence of historic, gical, scientific or cultural importance be discovered.		

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
14.	Tra	nsportation	
	a.	Identify public streets and highways service the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.	
	NE 100th access po be private	Street provides access to the site, the project proposes a single bint, along the south property line. The internal roads are proposed to e.	
	b.	Is site currently served by public transit?  Yes  No If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop.	
		ous stop is approximately 0.3 mile from the site, located at 132nd Ave 100th Street	
	c.	How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?	
	Off-stree garages	et parking would be accommodated in residents' driveways and  . No parking would be eliminated.	
	d.	Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).	
	The prop private r	posal would require the construction of approximately 400 lineal feet of boadway, and improve approximately 400 lineal feet of project frontage.	
	e.	How many weekday vehicular trips (one way) per day would be generated by the completed project? 138  If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur	

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
	f.	Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts,	
	Paymer	if any.  Int of Traffic Mitigation fees in accordance with City code.	
15.		lic Services	
	a.	Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? ✓ Yes ☐ No. If so, generally describe.	
	facilities	posal would place additional demands on public services, however, are generally in place to handle these additional demands.	
	b.	Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.	
		on measures in accordance with City code for impacts will be provided. Sidents would become part of the tax base / user group that supports ervices.	
16.	Utili	ities	
	a.	Select utilities currently available at the site:	
		✓ Electricity	
		✓ Natural gas	
		✓ Water	
		Refuse service	
		✓ Telephone ✓ Sonitory Soyyer	
		✓ Sanitary Sewer  ☐ Septic System	
		Septic System	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
Other	
b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.	
Utilities required for the site include: Water (City of Redmond), Sewer (City of Redmond), Power and Gas (Puget Sound Energy), Solid Waste (Waste Management), Telephone (Verizon). General construction for these utilities will include utility trenches both on and off-site. See enclosed plans for details.	

### C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:	Jo	hn Miran	te	Digitally signed by John Mirante DN: cn=John Mirante, c=US, email=jmirante@ldccorp.com Date: 2013.08.21 06:33:56 -07'00'	
Date Subm	itted:	08-21-13			
Relationshi	ip of s	igner to project:		nsultant	

То	be co	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
).	SUF	PPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS	
	( <u>DC</u>	NOT USE THIS SHEET FOR PROJECT ACTIONS)	
		ause these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in function with the list of the elements of the environment.	
	type grea	en answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal or the es of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a atter intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. pond briefly and in general terms.	
	1.	How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise:	
		Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:	
	2.	How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or	
		marine life?	
		Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or	
		marine life are:	

be co	ompleted by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
3.	How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or nature resources?	
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:	
4.	How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands:	
	Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:	
5.	How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:	
6. How would the proposal be likely to increase transportation or public services and utilities?	
Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:	
7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.	